Relationship between mRNA-microRNA interactions and forced vital capacity in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Imre Noth,¹ Janine Roy,² Ramona Schmid,³ Richard Vinisko,⁴ Benjamin Strobel,³ Megan L Neely,^{5,6} Christian Hesslinger,³ John A Belperio,⁷ Kevin R Flaherty,⁸ Margaret L Salisbury,⁹ Justin Oldham,¹⁰ Scott M Palmer,^{5,6} Jamie L Todd,^{5,6} Thomas B Leonard⁴ on behalf of the IPF-PRO[™] Registry investigators

¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA; ⁵Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ⁶Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ⁷David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA; ⁸Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of California, USA; ⁹Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, University of California, USA; ⁹Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, UNA; ¹⁰Department

INTRODUCTION

- Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a progressive fibrosing interstitial lung disease characterized by decline in lung function.
- MicroRNAs are small non-coding RNA molecules with functions in gene silencing or post-transcriptional gene regulation. Altered microRNA expression has been implicated in the pathogenesis of IPF.¹
- Further investigation is needed to understand the relationships between messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and microRNAs and progression of IPF.

AIM

• To investigate the relationship between mRNA-microRNA interactions and forced vital capacity (FVC) in patients with IPF.

METHODS

Subjects

- The cohort was drawn from the Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Prospective Outcomes (IPF-PRO) Registry, a multicenter US registry that enrolled patients with IPF that was diagnosed or confirmed at the enrolling center in the past 6 months.²
- These analyses were based on samples taken at enrollment from 272 subjects who had whole blood mRNA and plasma microRNA sequencing data that met quality control filters.

Analyses

- T-tests were used to determine differential mRNA and microRNA expression between subjects with FVC % predicted in the lowest tertile (<63.7% predicted; n=90) and the highest tertile (>76.8% predicted; n=92).
- We then used Pearson correlation to identify negatively correlated mRNAmicroRNA pairs among:
- mRNA transcripts with an absolute fold change >1 and $p \le 0.05$ for the difference between lowest versus highest tertiles of FVC % predicted.
- microRNAs with p≤0.05 for the difference between lowest versus highest tertiles of FVC % predicted.
- Functional and network analyses were used to visualize top mRNA-microRNA connections.
- mRNA-microRNA interaction analyses were performed in R using miRComb;³ p-values were adjusted for multiple testing.
- Pathways analysis was performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (QIAGEN Inc.). Databases searched were miRTarbase, microCOSM, mirDB, targetScan, and mirWalk2.

CONCLUSIONS

- We identified a number of mRNA-microRNA pairs that were differentially expressed in patients with IPF in the lowest versus the highest tertile of FVC % predicted.
- This supports the idea that microRNA regulation may be related to the progression of IPF.
- Ongoing studies will assess whether circulating microRNAs and their related mRNAs are associated with a greater risk of disease progression in patients with IPF.

REFERENCES

- 1. Miao C, et al. Exp Lung Res 2018;44:178-90.
- 2. O'Brien EC, et al. BMJ Open Respir Res 2016;3:e000108. 3. Vila-Casadesús M, et al. PLoS One 2016;11:e0151127.
- 4. Imai Y, et al. Nature 2005;436:112-6.

https://www.usscicomms.com/respiratory/ATS2020

- 5. Walters DM, et al. Antioxid Redox Signal 2008;10:321-32.
- 6. Krein PM and Winston BW. Chest 2002;122(6 Suppl):289S-93S.



NTERACTIVE	





Baseline c

Age, years
Male
White
Smoking
Past
Never

_____ Current

Values are media

Pathways analysis

IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1 Nrf2. nuclear factor E2-related factor 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The IPF-PRO[®] Registry is funded by Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (BIPI) and coordinated by the Duke Clinical Research Institute. Editorial and formatting assistance was provided by Elizabeth Ng and Wendy Morris of FleishmanHillard Fishburn, which was contracted and funded by BIPI. The authors meet criteria for authorship as recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), received no direct compensation for the development and have approved the final version. BI was given the opportunity to review the poster for medical and scientific accuracy as well as intellectual property considerations. Imre Noth reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Genentech and ImmuneWorks. Thomas B Leonard is an employee of BIPI.

Tertile 1: FVC <63.7% predicted (n=90)	Tertile 3: FVC >76.8% predicted (n=92)
69.5 (64.0, 73.0)	71.0 (65.0, 75.5)
70 (78%)	64 (70%)
83 (92%)	87 (95%)
 58 (64%)	64 (70%)
32 (36%)	27 (29%)
0	1 (1%)

Differential expression of mRNAs and microRNAs

• Of 35628 mRNAs and 2576 microRNAs sequenced, 2441 and 214, respectively, met the criteria for differential expression between subjects in the lowest versus the highest tertile of FVC % predicted.

• A cluster heatmap showed sub-clusters of expression among the top mRNA-microRNA pairs from the differentially expressed mRNAs and microRNAs.



mRNA-microRNA pairs with a confirmed connection in ≥ 1 database searched



associated with the pathogenesis of IPF or lung injury: aldosterone signaling,⁴ Nrf2-mediated antioxidant response,⁵ and IGF-1 signaling⁶

Darker shades in squares or circles indicate stronger up- or downregulation. Darker shades of arrows indicate connections were found in a greater

number of the databases searched.

IPF-PRO[®] Registry enrolling centers: Albany Medical Center, Albany, NY; Baylor College of Medical Center, Houston, TX; Baylor University Medical Center, Houston, TX; Baylor University Medical Center, New York, NY; Duke University Medical Center, Houston, TX; Lahey Clinic, Burlington, MA; Loyola University Health System, Maywood, IL; Lynchburg Pulmonary Associates, Lynchburg, VA; Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health, Denver, CO; NYU Medical Center, Winston VA; Piedmont Health Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health, Denver, CO; NYU Medical Center, Winston VA; Piedmont Health Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health, Denver, CO; NYU Medical Center, Winston VA; Piedmont Health Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health Carolina, SC; National Jewish Health Carolina, Charleston, SC; National Jewish Health Carolina, S Salem, NC; South Miami Hospital, South Miami, FL; St. Joseph's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ; Stanford University, Stanford, CA; Temple University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA; University of Chicago, Chicago, IL; University of Chicago, IL; University of Chicago, IL; University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA; University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA; University of Chicago, IL; Univers Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH; University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; University of Miami, FL; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VT; Wake Forest of Nichigan, Ann Arbor, MI; University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; University of Miami, FL; University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; University of Minnesota, Mi University, Winston Salem, NC; Washington University, St. Louis, MO; Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; Wilmington Health and PMG Research, Wilmington, NC; Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT.



RESULTS

VA-microRNA pairs from the mRNAs and microRNAs differentially expressed between in the highest and lowest tertiles of FVC % predicted



Network analyses

Network of mRNA-microRNA interactions with adjusted $p \le 0.05$

EIF285 FAM50P MGAT2 BYSL UBE2G2 ANXA5 SLC25A3 HNRNPA0 MAGED2 MRPL9 SDE2 HDAC1 Downregulated microRNA KIAA1147 KLIIL20 TSTD2 Upregulated microRNA Upregulated mRNA Pair with positive score Pair with negative score EIF4H LRRC16A



• The mRNA-microRNA pair with the strongest negative correlation was the nucleotide binding protein 1 (NUBP1) transcript and the microRNA hsa-mir-5192 (r=-0.37; p=1.03e-07):

> • Tertile 1 • Tertile 3 S 0 4 ß 0 hsa-miR-5192 expression (log,cpm) cpm, counts per million.

mRNA-microRNA pair with the strongest negative correlation

Functional analyses

MicroRNAs with ≥ 2 *mRNA targets with adjusted* $p \leq 0.05$, and cumulative percentage of 2441 differentially expressed mRNAs regulated by each microRNA



Duke Clinical Research Institute

rom Thought Leadership to Clinical Practice

Boehringer