# Impact of forced vital capacity decline on hospitalization events in systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease: a joint model analysis using data from the SENSCIS® trial

Michael Kreuter,<sup>1</sup> Francesco Del Galdo,<sup>2</sup> Corinna Miede,<sup>3</sup> Dinesh Khanna,<sup>4</sup> Wim A. Wuyts,<sup>5</sup> Laura Hummers,<sup>6</sup> Margarida Alves,<sup>7</sup> Nils Schoof,<sup>7</sup> Christian Stock,<sup>8</sup> Yannick Allanore<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Interstitial and Rare Lung Diseases, Pneumology and Respiratory Care Medicine, Thoraxklinik, University of Heidelberg, Germany; Germa Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>mainanalytics GmbH, Sulzbach/Taunus, Germany; <sup>4</sup>Division of Rheumatology/Department of Internal Medicine, Scleroderma Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; <sup>5</sup>Interstitial Lung Diseases Unit, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; <sup>6</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; <sup>7</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim and Rhein, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany; <sup>9</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelheim and Rhein, Germany; <sup>9</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany; <sup>9</sup>Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelhe Germany; <sup>9</sup>Department of Rheumatology A, Descartes University, APHP, Cochin Hospital, Paris, France

# **BACKGROUND**

- Hospitalizations of patients with SSc-ILD are serious events and have health economic implications.<sup>1,2</sup>
- A decline in FVC is an indicator of ILD progression in SSc-ILD and is associated with mortality.<sup>3,4</sup>
- The impact of FVC decline on hospitalizations in patients with SSc-ILD is largely unknown.

Ĵ Ĵ

To evaluate the association between longitudinal FVC decline and time-to-hospitalization endpoints in patients with SSc-ILD over 52 weeks.

## **METHODS**

AIM



- Phase III SENSCIS® trial of nintedanib vs placebo in patients with SSc-ILD (N=576).<sup>5</sup>
- Patients with FVC and hospitalization data were included in this analysis (n=574).



A joint model for longitudinal<sup>a</sup> and time-to-event<sup>b</sup> data to investigate the association between rate of FVC% predicted decline and hospitalization-related endpoints over 52 weeks.

## Hospitalization-related endpoints





<sup>b</sup>Time-to-event sub-model: piecewise exponential model stratified by ATA status with FVC% predicted as the endogenous timedependent covariate and five knots to model the baseline hazard.

## Admission to **ER or hospital** followed by ICU or death



# CONCLUSIONS

- FVC decline has a clinically relevant association with the risk of all-cause and SSc-related hospitalizations or death in patients with SSc-ILD.
- These findings support the use of serial FVC measurements in clinical studies.
- Slowing lung function decline in patients with SSc-ILD may prevent hospitalizations.

Scan the SMART poster QR code below to access summary slides and audio







## References

- 1. Fischer A, et al. ] Rheumatol. 2018; 45:235–241;
- 2. Zhou Z, et al. Adv Ther. 2019; 36:1100–1113;
- 3. Ahmed SS, et al. ] Rheumatol. 2014; 41:2326-2328;
- 4. Goh NS, et al. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017; 69:1670-1678;
- 5. Distler O, et al. N Engl J Med. 2019; 380:2518–2528.

## Abbreviations

ATA, anti-topoisomerase antibody; CI, confidence interval; ER, emergency room; FVC, forced vital capacity; HR, hazard ratio; ICU, intensive care unit; ILD, interstitial lung disease; SD, standard deviation; SSc-ILD, systemic sclerosis-associated ILD.

# Lung function decline is associated with an increased risk of hospitalization or death in patients with SSc-ILD

RESULTS					
FVC% predicted during the	e SENSCIS <sup>®</sup> trial <sup>5</sup>	Appual rate of decline over	ar 52 weeks ml (veer (SD)		
Nintedanib (n=288) Placebo (n=288)	72.4 (16.8) 72.7 (16.6)	-52.4 (13.8) -93.3 (13.5)			
Association between slop endpoints during the trea	oe of FVC% predicted ar tment period over 52 w	nd risk of time to first ho reeks	ospitalization		
	Time to first all-cause hospitalization or death (N=568)	Time to first SSc-related hospitalization or death (N=570)	Time to first admission to ER or hospital followed by ICU or death (N=572)		
ongitudinal sub-model					
stimated slope difference intedanib vs placebo (95% CI)	1.16 (0.00, 2.32)	1.44 (0.33, 2.55)	1.33 (0.18, 2.48)		
-value	0.0497	0.0109	0.0238		
ime-to-event sub-model					
lumber of patients with event, (%)	78 (13.7)	42 (7.4)	75 (13.1)		
hange in FVC% predicted vs no change, HR (95% CI)					
-unit decrease	1.13 (1.07, 1.18)	1.14 (1.07, 1.21)	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)		
-unit decrease	1.43 (1.24, 1.65)	1.48 (1.23, 1.77)	1.15 (0.95, 1.41)		
-unit decrease	1.81 (1.42, 2.30)	1.91 (1.41, 2.60)	1.27 (0.91, 1.76)		
-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1549		
A 3-unit decline in FVC% predicted corresponded to a 43% and 48% increased risk of all-cause and SSc-related hospitalization or death, respectively					
Association between slope of FVC% predicted and risk of first hospitalization endpoint or death during the treatment period over 52 weeks: (A) all-cause; (B) SSc-related; and (C) ER or hospital followed by ICU					
A 5.00- 4.00- 3.00-	B 5.00- 4.00-	C 3.00-			
<b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b>	2.00- <b>Y</b> 2.00- <b>Y</b> 1.75- 1.50- 1.25- 1.00- <b>I</b> 2 4	6 8 10 0 1.75- 5 1.75- 5 1.75- 1.00- 1.00-			
Decline in FVC% predicted With each unit decline in FVC predicted and the risk of first	Decline in % predicted, the HRs (95% all-cause or SSc-related ho	FVC% predicted 6 CI) for the association bet Dspitalization event or deat	Decline in FVC% predicted ween slope of FVC% h significantly increase.		

RESULTS					
FVC% predicted during the SENSCIS <sup>®</sup> trial <sup>5</sup>					
, U	Mean baseline, % (SD)	Annual rate of decline over	er 52 weeks, mL/year (SD)		
Nintedanib (n=288)	72.4 (16.8)	-52.4 (13.8)			
Placebo (n=288)	72.7 (16.6)	-93.3 (13.5)			
Association between slope of FVC% predicted and risk of time to first hospitalization endpoints during the treatment period over 52 weeks					
	Time to first all-cause hospitalization or death (N=568)	Time to first SSc-related hospitalization or death (N=570)	Time to first admission to ER or hospital followed by ICU or death (N=572)		
Longitudinal sub-model					
Estimated slope difference nintedanib vs placebo (95% CI)	1.16 (0.00, 2.32)	1.44 (0.33, 2.55)	1.33 (0.18, 2.48)		
P-value	0.0497	0.0109	0.0238		
Time-to-event sub-model					
Number of patients with event, n (%)	78 (13.7)	42 (7.4)	75 (13.1)		
Change in FVC% predicted vs no change, HR (95% CI)					
1-unit decrease	1.13 (1.07, 1.18)	1.14 (1.07, 1.21)	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)		
3-unit decrease	1.43 (1.24, 1.65)	1.48 (1.23, 1.77)	1.15 (0.95, 1.41)		
5-unit decrease	1.81 (1.42, 2.30)	1.91 (1.41, 2.60)	1.27 (0.91, 1.76)		
P-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.1549		
A 3-unit decline in FVC% predicted corresponded to a 43% and 48% increased risk of all-cause and SSc-related hospitalization or death, respectively					
Association between slope of FVC% predicted and risk of first hospitalization endpoint or death during the treatment period over 52 weeks: (A) all-cause; (B) SSc-related; and (C) ER or hospital followed by ICU					
A 5.00- 4.00-	B 5.00-	C 3.00-			
∋ 3.00-	4.00-	_ 2.00-			
<b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b> <b>5</b>	<b>5</b> 3.00- <b>56</b> 2.00- <b>1</b> .75- 1.50- 1.25- 1.00-	Ü 1.75- 양 1.50- 또 1.25- 1.00-			
0 2 4 6 8 Decline in FVC% predicted	10 0 2 4 Decline in F	6 8 10 0 €VC% predicted	2 4 6 8 10 Decline in FVC% predicted		
With each unit decline in FVC % predicted, the HRs (95% CI) for the association between slope of FVC% predicted and the risk of first all-cause or SSc-related hospitalization event or death significantly increase.					



## Disclosures

MK reports consultancy fees from Boehringer Ingelheim (BI), Roche and Galapagos, outside the submitted work. FDG reports research grants from Capella Biosciences, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Chemomab and Kymab; and consultancy fees from Actelion, BI, AstraZeneca, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Capella, Chemomab, outside the current work. CM is an employee of mainanalytics GmbH, which is a service provider contracted by BI. DK reports research grants from Immune Tolerance Network, Bayer, Horizon and Pfizer; consultancy fees from Acceleron, Actelion, Abbvie, Amgen, Bayer, BI, CSL Behring, Corbus, Gilead, Galapagos, Genentech/Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Horizon, Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Sanofi-Aventis and United Therapeutics; and employment at Eicos Science/CiviBioPharma, outside the submitted work. WW has nothing to disclose. LKH reports research grants BI, Corbus, Cumberland, CSL Behring, Kadmon and Medpace; and advisory board fees from BI, outside the submitted work. MA and NS are employees of BI International GmbH. CS is an employee of Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG. YA reports consultancy fees from BI, during the conduct of the study.

## Acknowledgments

The analysis was supported by Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH (BI). The authors meet criteria for authorship as recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). The authors did not receive payment for the development of the poster.

Writing, editorial support and formatting assistance was provided by Claire Scott, PhD, of MediTech Media, UK, and was contracted and funded by BI.

BI was given the opportunity to review the poster for medical and scientific accuracy as well as intellectual property considerations.

Boehringer Ingelheim

> ePoster presented at virtual ATS 2021 May 14-19.